## Derivation of fundamental quantities for the stability and convergence analysis Technical report of Discrete-time control of parallel kinematic systems

Enrica Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Marco Tognon<sup>2</sup>, Ruggero Carli<sup>1</sup>, Luca Schenato<sup>1</sup>, Juan Cortés<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Franchi<sup>2</sup>

Abstract—This document is a technical attachment to [1] (to appear) and contains the derivation and analysis of some quantities which are related to the stability and rate of convergence of the system introduced in the main paper.

## I. STABILITY AND CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

In this section we give the definition of the stability time  $\bar{\tau}_s(\mu)$ , the optimal time  $\bar{\tau}_o(\mu)$  and the convergence rate  $\rho(\mu)$ . In order to evaluate upper bounds for asymptotic stability and rate of convergence, we need to study the following function

$$g(\tau;\mu) := |1 - \tau| + \mu \tau^2 = \begin{cases} 1 - \tau + \mu \tau^2 =: g^{-}(\tau;\mu) & \tau < 1 \\ -1 + \tau + \mu \tau^2 =: g^{+}(\tau;\mu) & \tau \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

We will study the function  $g(\tau; \mu)$  in three different scenarios:  $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\mu \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  and  $\mu \ge 1$ . We start by observing that

$$g(0;\mu) = 1, \ g(1;\mu) = \mu, \ \frac{dg^+}{d\mu} = 1 + 2\mu\tau > 0$$

and by defining the minimum of  $g^-(\tau;\mu)$  and its minimizer w.r.t.  $\tau$  as

$$\tau_p(\mu) = \arg\min_{\tau} g^-(\tau;\mu) \Leftrightarrow \frac{dg^-(\tau;\mu)}{d\tau} = 0 \Longrightarrow \tau_p(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\mu}$$

We now note that in the first scenario  $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\tau_p(\mu) \ge 1$  which implies that the function  $g(\tau; \mu)$  is monotonically decreasing for  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  and monotonically increasing for  $\tau > 1$ .

In the second scenario  $\mu \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,  $\tau_p(\mu) < 1$ , therefore  $g(\tau; \mu)$  is monotonically decreasing for  $\tau \in [0, \tau_p(\mu)]$  and monotonically increasing for  $\tau > \tau_p(\mu)$ .

Finally note that for  $\mu < 1$ ,  $g(1;\tau) < 1$ , therefore there exists a unique  $\overline{\tau}_s(\mu)$  such that  $g(\overline{\tau}_s(\mu);\mu) = g^+(\overline{\tau}_s(\mu);\mu) = 1$ , while for  $\mu > 1$ ,  $g(1;\tau) > 1$ , therefore there exists a unique  $\overline{\tau}_s(\mu)$  such that  $g(\overline{\tau}_s(\mu);\mu) = g^-(\overline{\tau}_s(\mu);\mu) = 1$ . A pictorial representation of the three scenarios is shown in Fig. 1. We are now ready to compute the stability region and convergence rate.



Fig. 1: Representation of  $g(\tau; \mu)$  in the three scenarios.

1) Stability  $(g(\tau; \mu) < 1)$ : According to the analysis above, the stability set is given by:

$$\mathscr{T} := \{\tau \mid g(\tau; \mu) < 1\} = (0, \overline{\tau}_s(\mu))$$

More specifically, we have two scenarios depending whether the parameter  $\mu$  is smaller or grater than unity.

If  $\mu < 1$  then  $-1 + \tau + \mu \tau^2 = 1$ . Hence:

$$\overline{\tau}_{s}(\mu) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\mu}}{2\mu} = \frac{4}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\mu}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova, Italy enrica.rossi.l@studenti.unipd.it, carlirug@dei.unipd.it, schenato@dei.unipd.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France, marco.tognon@laas.fr, juan.cortes@laas.fr, antonio.franchi@laas.fr

while

$$\mu > 1 \Longrightarrow 1 - \tau + \mu \tau^2 = 1 \Longrightarrow \overline{\tau}_s(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu}$$

which can be summarized in

$$\overline{\tau}_{s}(\mu) \begin{cases} \frac{4}{1+\sqrt{1+8\mu}} & \mu < 1\\ \frac{1}{\mu} & \mu \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

2) Optimal gain and rate  $(\min_{\tau} g(\tau; \mu))$ : We now want to find the optimal stopping time  $\overline{\tau}_o(\mu)$  in order to maximally decrease toward the origin, and the relative decrease rate  $\rho(\mu)$ , i.e.

$$\overline{\tau}_o(\mu) := \arg\min_{\tau} g(\tau;\mu), \ \ \rho(\mu) = g(\overline{\tau}_o(\mu);\mu)$$

Once again, we can distinguish two scenarios, depending whether the parameter  $\mu$  is smaller or greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

More specifically, for  $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$  the function  $g^-(\tau;\mu)$  is monotonically decreasing for  $\tau < 1$ , and therefore  $\tau_o(\mu) = 1$ , while for  $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\tau_o(\mu) = \tau_p(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\mu}$ . This can be summarized as

$$\overline{\tau}_o(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2\mu} & \mu \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
(2)

1

By substitution is easy to verify that

$$\rho(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu & \mu < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{4\mu} & \mu \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
(3)

## REFERENCES

 E. Rossi, M. Tognon, R. Carli, L. Schenato, J. Cortés, and A. Franchi, "Cooperative aerial load transportation via sampled communication," in *IEEE Control Systems Letters (L-CSS)*, 2019.