

# A Partition-Based Relaxed ADMM for Distributed Convex Optimization over Lossy Networks: Technical Proofs

N. Bastianello, R. Carli, L. Schenato, M. Todescato

## APPENDIX

In this paper we describe the technical proofs for the results presented in [1].

### A. Proof of Proposition 1

As we showed in Section III-A of the main paper, it is possible to reformulate the partition-based problem (8) so that it conforms to problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \{ & f(\mathbf{x}) + \iota_{(I-P)}(\mathbf{y}) \} \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

to which the R-ADMM can be applied. The three update equations (4), (5) and (6) that characterize the R-ADMM applied to problem (A1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(k+1) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{y}} \{ & \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbf{x}(k), \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}(k)) \\ & + \rho(2\alpha - 1) \langle \mathbf{y}, (\mathbf{Ax}(k) + \mathbf{y}(k)) \rangle \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}(k+1) = & \mathbf{w}(k) - \rho(\mathbf{Ax}(k) + \mathbf{y}(k+1)) \\ & - \rho(2\alpha - 1)(\mathbf{Ax}(k) + \mathbf{y}(k)) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}(k+1); \mathbf{w}(k+1)) \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  is the vector of Lagrange multipliers and the augmented Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}) = & f(\mathbf{x}) + \iota_{(I-P)}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y}) \\ & + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, as shown in [2], the R-ADMM for problem (A1) can be equivalently characterized with the set of four iterates

$$\mathbf{y}(k) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{y}=P\mathbf{y}} \left\{ -\mathbf{z}^\top(k) \mathbf{y} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \right\} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\mathbf{w}(k) = \mathbf{z}(k) - \rho \mathbf{y}(k) \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(k) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \{ & f(\mathbf{x}) - (2\mathbf{w}(k) - \mathbf{z}(k))^\top \mathbf{Ax} \\ & + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|^2 \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\mathbf{z}(k+1) = (1 - 2\alpha)\mathbf{z}(k) + 2\alpha(\mathbf{w}(k) - \rho\mathbf{Ax}(k)). \quad (\text{A8})$$

Similarly to what has been done in [3], it is now possible to leverage the distributed nature of problem (A1) in order to simplify Equations (A5)–(A8).

The authors are with the Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova, via Gradenigo 6/b 35131, Padova, Italy. nicola.bastianello.3@studenti.unipd.it, [carlirug|schenato]@dei.unipd.it, mrc.todescato@gmail.com

First of all, solving the system of KKT conditions for (A5) yields  $\mathbf{y}(k) = (I + P)\mathbf{z}(k)/(2\rho)$ , and therefore Equations (A5)–(A8) become

$$\mathbf{y}(k) = (I + P)\mathbf{z}(k)/(2\rho) \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\mathbf{w}(k) = (I - P)\mathbf{z}(k)/2 \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}) + (P\mathbf{z}(k))^\top \mathbf{Ax} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|^2 \right\} \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\mathbf{z}(k+1) = (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{z}(k) - \alpha P\mathbf{z}(k) - 2\alpha\rho\mathbf{Ax}(k). \quad (\text{A12})$$

Since we are interested in the trajectory  $k \rightarrow \mathbf{x}(k)$  and by the fact that the update (A11) depends only on the vector  $\mathbf{z}(k)$ , then the R-ADMM for problem (A1) can be described by Equations (A11) and (A12) only.

Notice now that the trajectory  $k \rightarrow \mathbf{x}(k)$  generated by (A11) is equivalent to that generated by (A4) if the initial condition for  $\mathbf{x}$  is the same and if  $\mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{w}(0) + \rho\mathbf{y}(0)$  since Equation (A6) has to hold at time  $k = 0$ . Therefore Proposition 1 is proved if we can show that (A11) and (A12) can be rewritten as (11) and (12).

Recall that the permutation matrix  $P$  swaps the element  $z_i^{(i,j)}$  with the element  $z_i^{(j,i)}$  of vector  $\mathbf{z}$ , and that the row of  $\mathbf{Ax}$  relative to the auxiliary variable  $z_i^{(j,i)}$  is  $-x_i^{(i)}$ . Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (P\mathbf{z})^\top \mathbf{Ax} &= \left[ \dots \quad z_i^{(j,i)\top} \quad \dots \quad z_i^{(i,j)\top} \quad \dots \right] \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ -x_i^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ -x_i^{(j)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} z_i^{(i,j)\top} x_i^{(i)} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} z_j^{(i,j)\top} x_j^{(i)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each node  $i$   $x_i^{(i)}$  appears in  $|\mathcal{N}_i|$  constraints and  $\{x_j^{(i)}\}_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i}$ , in one constraint each. Hence we have

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|^2 = |\mathcal{N}_i| \left\| x_i^{(i)} \right\|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \left\| x_j^{(i)} \right\|^2.$$

Therefore Equations (11) and (12) can be derived from (A11) and (A12) using the particular structure of the problem, proving Proposition 1.  $\square$

### B. Proof of Propositions 2 and 3

As was mentioned above, the partition-based problem can be reformulated as (A1) which can be solved by the application of the R-ADMM. Therefore both the convergence results

of Propositions 2 and 3 follow from those of Propositions 2 and 3 of [3].

Indeed the R-ADMM is guaranteed to converge in both the loss-less and lossy scenarios as long as the step-size and penalty parameters are such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\rho > 0$ . Moreover, the components of the primal variables vector, which in the partition-based case are the subvectors  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ , are guaranteed to converge to the optimum value, that is, each variable  $x_i^{(i)}$  converges to the optimum  $x_i^*$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bastianello, R. Carli, L. Schenato, and M. Todescato, "A partition-based implementation of the relaxed admm for distributed convex optimization over lossy networks," in *IEEE 57th Annual Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), 2018 [submitted]*. IEEE, 2018.
- [2] D. Davis and W. Yin, "Convergence rate analysis of several splitting schemes," in *Splitting Methods in Communication, Imaging, Science, and Engineering*. Springer, 2016, pp. 115–163.
- [3] N. Bastianello, M. Todescato, R. Carli, and L. Schenato, "Distributed optimization over lossy networks via relaxed peaceman-rachford splitting: a robust admm approach," in *European Control Conference (ECC), 2018*. IEEE, 2018.